25

THE CLERK: Criminal No. 06-299, United States of 1 2 America versus Jose Cabrera-Cosme for sentence. 3 On behalf of the Government, Assistant U.S. Attorneys 4 Carmen Marquez and Ilianys Rivera. 5 On behalf of the Defendant, attorney Elfrick Mendez. 6 Defendant is present in court and he is being assisted by the 7 official court interpreter. 8 THE COURT: Good afternoon to the Government counsel, Ms. Marquez and Ms. Rivera, and to Defense attorney 9 10 Mr. Mendez. 11 MR. MENDEZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Cabrera. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Cabrera, during the trial of this 15 case you were referred to as Luis Villalobos, and I believe that in the Indictment -- is the nickname Luisito used in the 16 17 Indictment? MS. MARQUEZ: Luis Villalobos, Your Honor. 18 19 THE COURT: Not Luisito. Where does the Luisito come 20 Is there any source for that nickname from Government's information? 21 22 MS. MARQUEZ: Perhaps during the testimony of the witnesses, Your Honor, someone could have referred to him as 23 24 Luisito, but not in the Indictment. 25 PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, I believe that when I

interviewed the Defendant, he said he was called Luisito. 1 2 THE COURT: Could you state your name for the record. 3 **PROBATION OFFICER:** Joanne Vazquez, U.S. probation 4 officer, for the record. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Does the Defendant want to 6 address the nicknames, Luis Villalobos is an a/k/a, his name 7 is Jose Luis, and Luisito would be a nickname; do you wish to address that? 8 MR. MENDEZ: Yes, Your Honor. The Defendant's family 9 10 refers to him as Luisito. THE COURT: Well, the witnesses in the case, some of 11 them referred to him as Luis Villalobos. 12 13 MR. MENDEZ: Yes, Your Honor, that was the evidence 14 presented at trial. I believe that was the only nickname used 15 as to him during the trial. THE COURT: Now, Mr. Mendez, did you discuss the full 16 17 content of the probation officer's report with the Defendant? 18 Yes, Your Honor. The record should MR. MENDEZ: 19 reflect that I met with the Defendant and I discussed with him 20 the content of the presentence report, the sentencing memorandum that I filed on his behalf. I have also discussed 21 22 with him the Government's opposition and the Court's order 23 issued today addressing the arguments raised in the sentencing

THE COURT: Did you explain the Court's last Order on

24

25

memorandum.

the objections to the PSR to him?

MR. MENDEZ: Yes, Your Honor, I did.

THE COURT: And Mr. Cabrera, did you understand what your attorney explained to you regarding what the probation officer reported in your case, in the presentence investigation report?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And Mr. Mendez has also said that he informed you of the Court's ruling today on your objections to the probation officer's report?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Is there any reason -- oh, does the Government have the Court's ruling on the objections?

MS. RIVERA: Yes, Your Honor. In addition to the revisions that have already been made to the presentence report, after the filing of the presentence report on October 22nd, I believe, we submitted a letter to the probation officer, we provided a copy to Defense counsel, where we noted certain additional revisions, and they were mostly typos, and these, I believe, the Defense has no objection that they be added to the presentence report. I already discussed this with both the probation officer and with Defense counsel, and they will be incorporated into the presentence report.

MR. MENDEZ: Yes, Your Honor, we acknowledge that we

```
discussed that with counsel for the Government. We received
1
2
    that last year, those corrections were contained in a letter
 3
    that counsel for the Government sent to us in an e-mail.
 4
    are mostly typos and other corrections that should be made to
 5
    the report.
 6
             THE COURT: All right. So you have no objection?
 7
            MR. MENDEZ: I have no objection that those
    corrections be made.
8
             THE COURT: Those corrections will be incorporated.
9
10
             PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, Your Honor.
11
             THE COURT: Mr. Mendez, is there any reason why your
    client should not be sentenced at this time?
12
13
            MR. MENDEZ: Not to our knowledge, Your Honor. May I
14
   address the Court briefly?
             THE COURT: Well, let me ask Mr. Cabrera.
15
16
            Mr. Cabrera, is there any reason why I should postpone
17
   your sentence?
18
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             No.
19
             THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Mendez, you may address the
20
   Court.
21
            MR. MENDEZ: Our sentencing memorandum is on file and
    the Court has ruled on those objections and arguments, I'm not
22
23
    going to address those. I will -- and these facts, what I'm
24
    going to say, most of this information is already in the
25
   presentence report, but I want to bring the Court's attention
```

to that prior to sentencing. The Defendant is essentially a first time offender, Your Honor, he's Criminal History

Category 1. He is still a young man; the father of a minor child, Luis Jose, who is age 6, who is a product of his relationship with Ms. Gladys Pinela, which is the sister of codefendant Melvin Mendez Roldan. Through our trial the evidence was consistent that Mr. Mendez Roldan was the overall leader of the drug trafficking organization, and while we acknowledge the evidence that was presented as to our client's role, it is true that consistently what came out during trial was that Melvin Mendez Roldan was the leader and at one point he went to prison, and after that my client acted as sort of his representative or as a proxy for him at the housing project, Your Honor, at the Nemesio Canales Housing Project.

The evidence at trial was that the major decisions were still being made by Mr. Mendez Roldan, even though he was in prison, Your Honor. The proceeds from the drug trafficking corresponding to Mr. Mendez Roldan were being collected for him by my client.

Though my client is being treated as a leader or an organizer of the drug trafficking organization, our position is that his role was more that of a proxy for Mr. Mendez Roldan, Your Honor. There's also the family relationship, he is his brother-in-law.

Also, with regard to the evidence of murders and

deaths during the span of the conspiracy, the testimony was that my client was present at meetings where some of those deaths were discussed and planned. As opposed to the other defendants, Your Honor, there was no evidence that he participated directly in those murders, that he took a weapon and shot at someone and killed someone. In that regard, my client is in a different position as to his codefendants in this case, Your Honor, and I also wanted to bring the Court's attention to that.

Being sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of ever getting out is basically a life without hope, without any hope whatsoever of ever sharing with his son again or sharing with his mother, who is sitting in this courtroom, except for visiting days, Your Honor. Even the worst offenders must have some kind of hope of getting out some day. We basically ask the Court that, if it deem it fit, to be lenient as to the Defendant in this case.

Submitted.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Cabrera, you may now address the Court yourself, anything that's on your mind, that you want to share with the Court, any information, anything that you want to say that is important for you and that you wish for the Court to consider, you may state so at this time.

THE DEFENDANT: I want to tell my mother that... (pause).

THE COURT: Tell her, whatever you want to tell her, 1 2 she's waiting to hear you. 3 THE DEFENDANT: -- that I love her very much and that 4 I trust that we will be all together soon, and to forgive me 5 for this process that I am facing right now. And to my 6 brother who is there -- he is my youngest brother -- that I 7 love him, and that I trust in God that there will be hope and that we will be together, like we have always been, we're a 8 very united family. 9 10 I thank my mother for always giving me her support 11 during this process that has been so hard. I want to tell her to please just go in peace, with peaceful thoughts, and to tell 12 13 my children that I love them very much, that their father will 14 soon be out, with the help of God. 15 And I end by telling this Court good afternoon. THE COURT: Mr. Cabrera, how old is your younger 16 17 brother, if you know? 18 THE DEFENDANT: My brother, if I'm not mistaken, he's 20. 19 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 The United States, any comments? 22 MS. RIVERA: Your Honor, the record should be clear 23 that the evidence that was presented at trial in this case did 24 show that this Defendant was a leader within the drug 25 trafficking organization. There was evidence that he was a

drug point owner, an owner of the drug crack point; that he 1 2 had runners, that he had sellers, that he had facilitators 3 that worked for him during the span of the drug trafficking conspiracy; that he, along with others, were responsible for 4 5 distributing at least 18 kilograms of crack cocaine per year 6 during the span of the conspiracy. And that this Defendant 7 was personally involved in the conspiracy to murder, to murder rivals of the drug trafficking organization, such as Jose A. 8 Medina Nieves and Richard Figueroa Perdomo, and in that sense 9 this Defendant did carry firearms and did give firearms to 10 others in furtherance of the commission of those offenses or 11 12 murders. In this case the Government proved at least seven drug related murders. 13

We believe, Your Honor, that in this case the guidelines adequately reflect the severity of the offense that was committed by this Defendant. In that regard, we are recommending to the Court that he be sentenced to a term of life imprisonment as to both counts, to run consecutively to each other.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Rivera.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Cabrera, this is the sentence of the Court: On June 11, 2010, Defendant, Jose Luis Cabrera Cosme, also known as Luis Villalobos and who goes by the nickname Luisito, was found guilty after a jury trial on Counts 1 and 2 of a Second Superseding Indictment filed in Criminal No. 06-299, charging

him with a violation of 21 U.S. Code, Section 841(a)(1) and Section 860, involving a conspiracy to possess with the intent to distribute at least 50 grams or more of cocaine base and a detectable amount of marijuana, within one thousand feet of a public housing or a public elementary school, in violation of Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 924(c)(1)(A)(iii), involving a conspiracy to use, carry, possess, and discharge firearms, both class "A" felonies.

The United States Sentencing Guidelines for the Title 21 U.S. Code, Sections 841(a)(1), 846, and 860 offenses are found in Sections 2D1.1 and 2D1.2. However, since seven victims were murdered as a manner or a means of furthering the drug conspiracy, the cross reference to apply Guideline Section 2A1.1 is authorized pursuant to Guideline Section 2D1.1(d)(1), establishing a base offense level of 43.

Defendant has been identified as a leader in the conspiracy, which involved five or more participants under Guideline Section 3B1.1(a), therefore, a four level increase is mandated.

Since minors were used during the commission of the offense, a two level increase is authorized under Guideline Section 3B1.4.

There are no other applicable guideline adjustments.

Although the total offense level after applying the adjustments would be a level 49, it is capped at level 43 under

Application Note 2 to Chapter 5, Part A, of the Sentencing Guidelines Manual, Sentencing Table.

Count 2 is precluded from the application of the sentencing guidelines under Guideline Section 2K2.4(b), as statutory provisions mandate a fixed consecutive imprisonment term.

Based on a total offense level of 43 and a Criminal
History Category of 1, the Guideline Imprisonment Range in this
particular case is life, with a fine range of 25,000 to
eight million, plus a supervised release term of at least ten
years as to Count 1 and not more than five years as to Count 2.

Before the Court is a 33-year-old defendant who has a seventh grade education and a limited employment history.

Mr. Cabrera has one child. He was raised by his mother and his maternal grandmother, both of whom supported him fully. As described by his grandmother, he had a good childhood. Neither mother nor grandmother ever abused or neglected him. He is a first time offender.

Together with the co-conspirators and codefendants in this case, Mr. Cabrera led an organization that controlled drug trafficking activities at the Canales Housing Project for a span of three years, approximately. His participation in the conspiracy, as shown by the evidence, was closely associated with that of codefendant Melvin Mendez Roldan, the brother of his consensual wife. Both owned crack drug points at the La

Recta stretch and both were armed during the conspiracy.

Now, while Mr. Mendez Roldan was incarcerated, he continued operating his crack drug point and his control of drug activity through co-conspirator Cabrera. Cabrera also received rent on Mr. Mendez' behalf for allowing others to sell drugs.

Defendant, as leader of the drug organization, had the power to authorize or to reject the sale of crack at La Recta by others than those who were the core members.

The Defendant participated in the decision to kill Richard Figueroa Perdomo, known as Indio, over rivalry in the control of the crack sales at the housing project. He also participated in the murder of Jose A. Medina Nieves, also known as Agustin, again due to the belief held by the Defendant, and his co-conspirators, that Agustin posed a threat to the organization.

The advisory guidelines adequately reflect the nature of the offenses and the history and characteristics of the Defendant. Taking into account all factors listed in 18 U.S. Code, Section 3553(a), and particularly the seriousness of the two conspiracies charged, the Defendant's role in them and his personal characteristics, the Court finds that the advisory guideline sentence is sufficient but not greater than necessary to meet statutory objectives of punishment and of deterrence in this case.

Therefore, it is the judgment of the Court that

Defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of

Prisons to be imprisoned for the remainder of his natural life

as to Count 1 and to 10 years as to Count 2, to be served

consecutively with each other.

If he is ever released from confinement, Defendant shall be placed on supervised release for a term of 10 years as to Count 1 and five years as to Count 2, to run concurrently with each other under the following terms and conditions:

One, Defendant shall not commit another federal, state or local crime, and he shall observe the standard conditions of supervised release recommended by the U.S. Sentencing Commission and adopted by the court.

Two, Defendant shall not unlawfully possess controlled substances.

Three, Defendant shall refrain from possessing firearms, destructive devices, and other dangerous weapons.

Four, the Defendant shall refrain from the unlawful use of controlled substances and he shall submit to a drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment. After release, Defendant shall submit to random drug testing, not to exceed 104 samples per year, in accordance with the Drug Aftercare Program policy of the U.S. Probation Office approved by this Court. If any samples detect substance abuse, Defendant shall participate in a drug treatment program as an inpatient or as

an outpatient, in accordance with that policy. Defendant is required to contribute to the cost of the services rendered by means of co-payment based on his ability to pay or the availability of third party payments as approved by the court.

Five, Defendant shall provide the U.S. probation officer access to any financial information upon request and he shall produce evidence to the U.S. probation officer to the effect that income tax returns have been duly filed within his place of residence as required by the law.

Six, Defendant shall submit his person, residence, office, electronic device, or vehicle to a search conducted by a U.S. probation officer at a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner, based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or of evidence of a violation of a condition of release. Failure to submit to a search may be grounds for revocation. Defendant shall warn any other residents that the premises may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition.

Seven, Defendant shall cooperate in the collection of a DNA sample as directed by the U.S. probation officer pursuant to the Revised DNA Collection Requirements and 18 U.S. Code, Section 3563(a)(9).

Eight, Defendant shall participate in a vocational training and/or job placement program recommended by the U.S. probation officer.

Having considered Defendant's financial condition, a

1 | fine is not imposed.

A special monetary assessment in the total amount of \$200 is imposed as mandated by the law.

Mr. Cabrera, the Court advises you as follows: You have a right to appeal since you were found guilty after entering a plea of not guilty. The notice of appeal shall be filed in this district court within 14 days after entry of the Court's judgment. You have a right to request or apply for leave to appeal as an indigent person if you are unable to pay the costs of an appeal. I understand Mr. Mendez is court appointed counsel, correct?

MR. MENDEZ: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Mendez, as court appointed counsel who represents you, will continue to represent you through an appeal, if any is taken, unless a substitute counsel is later appointed. And you will be given credit towards your sentence for the days that you have spent in federal custody in connection with the offenses for which sentence has been imposed upon you today.

Transcript of this hearing shall be sent within 30 days to the Probation Office, the Sentencing Commission, and the Bureau of Prisons.

The United States, any other matter?

MS. RIVERA: Nothing further from the Government.

THE COURT: The Defendant.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MENDEZ: Yes, Your Honor. The Defendant respectfully requests that the Court recommend to the Bureau of Prisons that he be designated to serve his sentence at an institution in the state of Alabama, if possible. THE COURT: Alabama? MR. MENDEZ: Alabama, Your Honor. THE COURT: The Court will so recommend. MR. MENDEZ: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: You may withdraw. MR. MENDEZ: Your Honor, not pertaining strictly to the sentence, the Defendant -- we had notified of this situation to the Court through motions that he has filed -suffered a fall at MDC Guaynabo, and I discussed the situation with him because he wasn't receiving adequate treatment. told me that he was taken to an appointment and received some X-rays and was told that he was going to be referred to some physical therapy, and if that did not help, that he would be undergoing surgery. We just wanted to state that for the record, Your Honor, because that motion is in the docket. **THE COURT:** I know there is a motion regarding the matter that you have raised, but I thought that had been resolved. MR. MENDEZ: This is the status of what's going on. THE COURT: Let me address the Defendant himself. Mr. Cabrera, the information that I have is that

treatment was given in the sense that X-rays were taken. 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Exactly what is your injury? 4 THE DEFENDANT: My little finger broke, I was not 5 given the treatment I was supposed to receive in prison and 6 several months have elapsed, and the only thing they've done 7 is to take a few X-rays, but the finger is out of shape, really, it's not healing well. 8 THE COURT: Let me see your hand, raise your hand. 9 10 Can you stretch that finger? 11 THE DEFENDANT: No. 12 Can you use it at all, move it? THE COURT: 13 THE DEFENDANT: I can move it, but any time I hit 14 anything with the finger, it's a very sharp pain that I feel. 15 **THE COURT:** And how long ago was this? 16 THE DEFENDANT: I can't tell you exactly how long, 17 but it's been several months now. 18 The authorities at MDC, their position MR. MENDEZ: 19 is that they are going to be sending him to physical therapy 20 first, and if that does not work, they will refer him to have 21 surgery. 22 **THE COURT:** But he is scheduled for therapy? 23 MR. MENDEZ: Yes, Your Honor, that is the information 24 that I have. 25 THE COURT: All right. So give follow up to that.

1	MR. MENDEZ: I will.
2	THE COURT: Because he does look like he has a
3	limitation and a deformity in the little finger of his hand.
4	So if that's the situation, you have information that
5	he will be referred from the BOP?
6	MR. MENDEZ: That is correct, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Well, give follow up to that information,
8	and if that doesn't happen, you will let me know.
9	MR. MENDEZ: I will, Your Honor, thank you.
10	Permission to withdraw.
11	THE COURT: You may withdraw.
12	(Whereupon at 4:55 p.m. this hearing was concluded.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, ZULMA M. RUIZ, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the District of Puerto Rico, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct computer-aided transcript of proceedings had in the within-entitled and numbered cause on the date herein set forth; and I do further certify that the foregoing transcript has been prepared by me or under my direction.

S/Zulma M. Ruiz

Official Court Reporter